

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY

SUITABILITY OF AVAILABLE REAR-PROJECTION SCREENS FOR DISPLAYING HIGH LINE-RATE LASER PROJECTOR IMAGES

George A. Geri

Raytheon Training and Services Company
6030 South Kent Street, Bldg 560
Mesa AZ 85212-6061

Philipp W. Peppler
6030 South Kent Street, Bldg 561
Mesa AZ 85212-6061

December 1999

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS DIRECTORATE
WARFIGHTER TRAINING RESEARCH DIVISION
6030 South Kent Street, Bldg 558
Mesa AZ 85212-6061

20000721 038

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

NOTICES

Publication of this report does not constitute approval or disapproval of the ideas or findings. It is published in the interest of STINFO exchange.

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government-related procurement does not in any way obligate the US Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data, does not license the holder or any other person or corporation, or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

The Office of Public Affairs has reviewed this report, and it is releasable to the National Technical Information Service, where it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

**PHILIPP W. PEPPLER
Project Engineer**

**DEE H. ANDREWS
Technical Director**

**JERRY L. STRAW, Colonel, USAF
Chief, Warfighter Training Research Division**

Please do not request copies of this paper from the Air Force Research Laboratory. Additional copies may be purchased from:

**National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, Virginia 22161**

Federal Government agencies and contractors registered with the Defense Technical Information Center should direct requests for copies of this report to:

**Defense Technical Information Center
8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 0944
Ft. Belvoir, Virginia 22060-6218**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE December 1999	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final - January 1999 to December 1999	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Suitability of Available Rear-Projection Screens for Displaying High Line-Rate Laser Projector Images			5. FUNDING NUMBERS C - F41624-97-D-C-5000 PE - 62205F PR - 1123 TA - B0 WU - 01	
6. AUTHOR(S) George A. Geri Philipp W. Peppler				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Raytheon Training and Services Co. 6030 South Kent Street, Bldg 560 Mesa AZ 85212-6061			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory Human Effectiveness Directorate Warfighter Training Research Division 6030 South Kent Street, Bldg 561 Mesa AZ 85212-6061			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER AFRL-HE-AZ-SR-1999-0009	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Air Force Research Laboratory Contract Monitor: Mr Philipp W. Peppler, AFRL/HEA (480) 988-6561 X-273, DSN 474-6273				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This report addresses the issue of the suitability of available rear-projection screens for displaying high line-rate laser projector images. Although there were some differences among the screens tested, there was no indication that the screen was the limiting factor in the spatial resolution of the display system.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Display systems; Displays; Image generators; Imagery; Laser projection; Rear-projection screens; Screens; Spatial resolution;			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION ABSTRACT UL	

THE SUITABILITY OF AVAILABLE REAR-PROJECTION SCREENS FOR DISPLAYING HIGH LINE-RATE LASER PROJECTOR IMAGES

BACKGROUND

We have recently estimated the spatial resolution of several display systems consisting of various image generators, CRT projectors, and rear-projection screens. Although there were some differences among the screens tested, there was no indication that the screen was the limiting factor in the spatial resolution of the display system. However, to date, only relatively low line-rates have been tested (up to 1700×1350 pixels), and it is possible that the screen will limit the spatial resolution of higher line-rate display systems such as 5000×4000 pixel laser projectors. We have attempted to address this issue with the research described here. More definitive data will be obtained when a functioning laser projector becomes available for evaluation.

METHOD

Apparatus and Procedure

Test images were vertical, square-wave gratings projected using 35 mm slides and a Kodak carousel projector. The grating bar widths were either 0.25, 0.33, or 0.50 mm. Measurements were obtained from grating images either rear-projected onto a Proscreen 1.2 screen, or front-projected onto a piece of white paper located at the surface of the screen nearest the projector. It had previously been determined that the contrasts obtained using this paper were very similar to those obtained with a white, Lambertian reflectance standard.

The grating images were projected perpendicular to the screen from a distance of about one meter. Screen measurements were made perpendicular to the screen near its center. Reflection standard measurements were made at a slight angle to the screen because the detector and slide projector were on the same side of the screen in this condition. Because of the approximately Lambertian nature of the reflectance standard, this angle did not significantly affect the measured luminance.

Spatial luminance distributions were measured using a Photo Research Model PR-719 Spot Spectrascan Fast Spatial Scanner. The scanner position was chosen to give about three cycles in the luminance distribution of the 0.5 mm grating. Maximum and minimum luminances (L_{max} and L_{min} , respectively) were measured over the grating cycle that was closest to the center of the luminance distribution. Michelson contrast was calculated from the luminance distributions as: $(L_{max} - L_{min}) / (L_{max} + L_{min})$.

RESULTS

Shown in Figure 1 are the luminance distributions obtained from both the rear- and front-projected grating images for the three grating bar widths (0.25, 0.33, and 0.50 mm) tested. The filled circles in each of the three graphs show the data obtained from the gratings

rear-projected onto the Proscreen 1.2. The open circles represent the data obtained from gratings front-projected onto the reflectance standard. The relative vertical position of the luminance distributions in each of the three graphs of Figure 1 indicates that the rear-projection screen reduced the overall luminance of the projected gratings by about 50% relative to the reflection standard.

The Michelson contrasts calculated for the various luminance distributions shown in Figure 1 are presented in Figure 2. The filled bars of Figure 2 show the measured contrasts of the rear-projected gratings, while the striped bars show the measured contrast for the front-projected gratings. For the two smaller grating bar widths, the contrasts measured through the rear-projection screen is nearly twice that measured from the reflectance standard. For the largest grating bar width, there was no significant difference between the measured contrasts of the rear-projected and front-projected gratings.

CONCLUSIONS

The 0.33 mm test grating corresponds to the line width that would be produced by a 5000 line laser-projector image distributed across the front screen of a Mobile Modular Display for Advanced Research and Training (M2DART) as it is typically configured. Based on measurements of test gratings of that size projected using 35 mm slides, we tentatively conclude that equivalent spatial detail produced by a laser projector will not be significantly degraded by the projection screens currently used in the M2DART. It is recommended, however, that this evaluation be repeated using a laser projector when one becomes available.




Figure 1. Spatial Luminance Distributions for the Test Conditions Shown.




Figure 2. Michelson Contrast Calculated from the Spatial Luminance Distributions of Figure 1.